

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling **Forest Lake** this year! We congratulate your group for sampling your lake **twice** this summer. However, we strongly encourage your monitoring group to sample **additional** times each summer. Typically, we recommend that monitoring groups sample **three times** per summer (once in **June, July, and August**). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability, and your monitoring group's goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative water quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, from year to year, and even from hour to hour during a rain event, it is a good idea to sample the lake at least once per month during the summer.

If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample or to travel to one of the laboratories, please call the VLAP Coordinator and DES will help you work out an arrangement.

As part of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Lake Assessment (NLA) initiative and the Probabilistic Lake Assessment (PLA), DES biologists performed a comprehensive lake assessment on **Forest Lake** in **August** during **2009**. The NLA and PLA serve to assess the Nation's lake and determine the percentage of our Nation's lakes that are in good, fair or poor condition. Lakes were randomly selected based on a statistical survey representing the population of lakes in their ecological region, but had to be at least one meter deep and over ten acres in size. Lakes were assessed using standard protocols, and the following parameters were measured: temperature, dissolved oxygen, nutrients, chlorophyll-a, water clarity, turbidity, color, zooplankton and phytoplankton, bacteria, macroinvertebrates, habitat condition, and sediment cores. Some data from this assessment has been included in your annual report and added to the historical database for your lake. The lake's data will help to determine the regional and national condition of lakes. Those volunteer monitoring groups with historical data can compare the condition of their lakes on a statewide, regional or national level. Data from the National Lake Assessment will be compiled, entered into a national database, analyzed, and a draft report will be made available for public review. For more information about EPA's NLA please visit www.epa.gov/owow/lakes/lakessurvey.

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (DES), in conjunction with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the environmental consulting firm AECOM, conducted a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for total phosphorus for your lake. The TMDL refers to the pollutant reductions a waterbody needs to meet New Hampshire's water quality standards. Forest Lake was listed on the 2008 impaired waters [303(d)] list because elevated algal growth impaired the primary contact recreation (swimming) use. Phosphorus is the nutrient responsible for algal growth and is

the pollutant to be reduced to control algal growth. DES is required by the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 303(d), to report every two years to the EPA on all waters not meeting state water quality standards.

The TMDL conducted at your lake identified an in-lake target phosphorus value that, when met, should result in no additional primary contact recreation impairments due to algal growth. A phosphorus budget was constructed, phosphorus sources identified and phosphorus reductions allocated to each of the sources to meet the target value. An implementation plan provides recommendations on watershed remediation activities to reduce phosphorus inputs to the lake.

The draft TMDL will be provided to your lake association, town, and watershed stakeholders for review and will also be available on the DES website at www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/tmdl/index.htm. There will be a period for public review and comment, anticipated for Winter/Spring 2010. Phosphorus load reductions can only occur with the knowledge, participation and action of watershed residents, businesses and stakeholders. If you are interested in learning more about the TMDL Program please contact Peg Foss, TMDL Coordinator, at Margaret.foss@des.nh.gov or 603-271-5448.

Thank you for joining Weed Watchers! A Weed Watcher training was conducted at **Forest Lake** during **2009**. Volunteers were trained to survey the lake once a month from May through September. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the lake and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watcher kit, volunteers look for any species that are suspicious. After a trip or two around the lake, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers immediately send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

DEEP SPOT

➤ **Chlorophyll-a**

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of algal or cyanobacteria abundance. Algae are typically microscopic plants that are naturally found in the lake ecosystem. The measurement of chlorophyll-a in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year chlorophyll-a data.

Figure 1 depicts the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column.

The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **increased** from **June** to **August**. The chlorophyll concentration was **12.06 mg/m³** in **July** and **14.87 mg/m³** in **August**. Typically chlorophyll concentrations above **15.00 mg/m³** are indicative of an algal bloom.



The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2009** chlorophyll-a mean is ***much greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix D.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a ***variable*** in-lake chlorophyll-a trend since monitoring began. Specifically the mean chlorophyll concentration has ***fluctuated between approximately 4.08 and 15.71 mg/m³*** since **1989**.

While algae are naturally present in all waterbodies, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. Phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes and ponds. Algal concentrations increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Increased Chlorophyll-a concentrations can also affect water clarity, causing Secchi-disk transparency to decrease (worsen) and turbidity to increase (worsen). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

Forest Lake, Winchester

Figure 1. Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results



➤ **Phytoplankton and Cyanobacteria**

Table 1 lists the phytoplankton (algae) and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond in **2009**. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed and their relative dominance in the sample.

Table 1. Dominant Phytoplankton/Cyanobacteria (June 2009)

Division	Genus	% Dominance
Chrysophyta	Uroglenopsis	30.7
Chrysophyta	Chrysosphaerella	25.6
Bacillariophyta	Asterionella	20.5

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Secchi Disk Transparency**

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year transparency data. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

Figure 2 depicts the historical and current year transparency *with and without* the use of a viewscope.

The current year *non-viewscope* in-lake transparency **decreased** from **June** to **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration **increased** from **June** to **August**, the transparency **decreased**. We typically expect this **inverse** relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water **increases**, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically **decreases**, and vice-versa.

The viewscope in-lake transparency was slightly **greater than** the non-viewscope transparency on the **June** sampling event. The transparency was **not** measured with the viewscope on the **August** sampling event. A comparison of transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be

seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. In the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.


The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2009** mean non-viewscope transparency is ***slightly less than*** the state median and is ***slightly greater than*** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

Visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a ***stable*** trend. Specifically, the transparency has ***remained relatively stable ranging between 2.60 and 3.88 meters*** since monitoring began in **1991**.


Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.

Forest Lake, Winchester

Figure 2. Monthly and Historical Transparency Results



2009 Transparency Viewscape and Non-Viewscope Results



Historical Transparency Non-Viewscope Results

➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations.

The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The graphs in Figure 3 depict the historical amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) and hypolimnetic (lower layer) total phosphorus concentrations; the inset graphs depict current year total phosphorus data.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased** from **June** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2009** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **slightly greater than** the state and similar lake medians. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased** from **June** to **August**.

The hypolimnetic (lower layer) turbidity sample was **elevated** on the **June** and **August** sampling events (**20.8 and 7.96 NTUs**). This suggests that the lake bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the lake bottom is covered by an easily disturbed thick organic layer of sediment. When the lake bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

The historical data show that the **2009** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **much greater than** the state and similar lake medians. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.



Overall, visual inspection of the epilimnetic historical data trend line shows a **relatively stable** phosphorus trend. Specifically, the mean annual epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has **remained approximately the same** (excluding 2008) since monitoring began in **1991**.

Overall, visual inspection of the hypolimnetic historical data trend line shows a **variable** phosphorus trend since monitoring began. Specifically the mean annual concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 10 and 59 ug/L** since monitoring began in **1991**.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

Forest Lake, Winchester

Figure 3. Monthly and Historical Total Phosphorus Data



➤ pH

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the in-lake stations.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **6.34 to 6.79** in the epilimnion and from **6.08 to 6.49** in the hypolimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

It is important to point out that the hypolimnetic (lower layer) pH was ***lower (more acidic)*** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the state's abundance of granite bedrock and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is little that can be feasibly done to effectively increase pond pH. The pH at the deep spot, however, is sufficient to support aquatic life.

➤ Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC)

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year epilimnetic ANC for the deep spot.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) ranged from **5.9 mg/L to 7.7 mg/L**. This indicates that the lake is ***moderately vulnerable*** to acidic inputs.

➤ Conductivity

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for in-lake

stations.

Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The **2009** conductivity results for the deep spot were **lower than** has been measured **since monitoring began**.

The record rainfall during the **2009 summer season** possibly diluted the ion concentration in surface waters throughout the watershed. Specifically, the significant summer rainfalls likely increased the flushing rate for many lakes allowing potential watershed pollutants to flush through the system and not concentrate in the stratified surface waters.

Also, the in-lake conductivity has **decreased** (meaning **improved**) in the lake since monitoring began. Increases in conductivity typically indicate the influence of human activities on surface water quality. Septic system leachate, agricultural runoff, iron deposits, and road runoff which typically contains road salt during the spring snow melt, can each influence conductivity readings. This **decreasing** conductivity trend suggests the reduction of pollutants and erosion in the watershed. We hope that this improving trend continues!

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the lake. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

*A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during **2009**. Please refer to the chloride discussion for more information.*

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** (upper layer) be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord is able to conduct chloride analyses, free of charge. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

➤ **Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrite+Nitrate Nitrogen (only those lakes with current year Lake Survey data)**

Table 7a in Appendix A presents the current year and historical Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Table 7b presents the current year and historical nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. Nitrogen is another nutrient that is essential for the growth of plants and algae. Nitrogen is typically the limiting nutrient in estuaries and

coastal ecosystems. However, in freshwater, nitrogen is not typically the limiting nutrient. Therefore, nitrogen is not typically sampled through VLAP. However, if phosphorus concentrations in freshwater are elevated, then nitrogen loading may stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The ratio of the mean total nitrogen to mean total phosphorus (TN:TP) in the epilimnion sample this year was **19**, which is **greater than 15** and indicates that phosphorus is the **limiting nutrient** in the lake. This means that any additional phosphorus loading to the pond will stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Therefore, it is not critical to conduct nitrogen sampling.

➤ **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature**

Table 9 in Appendix A depicts the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2009**.

The presence of sufficient amounts of dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As previously mentioned, the turbidity and total phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was **elevated** on each of the sampling events this year. Historically, the hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentration has been **low** on most sampling events. This suggests that the lake bottom is composed of a thick layer of organic material that is easily disturbed. The presence of a thick organic layer on the lake bottom, which is likely comprised of decomposed plants and algae, would explain the lower dissolved oxygen concentration near the lake bottom.

➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year data for in-lake turbidity.

Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the hypolimnetic (lower layer) turbidity was **elevated (20.8 and 7.96 NTUs)** on the **June** and **August** sampling events. In addition, the hypolimnetic turbidity has been elevated on many sampling events during previous sampling years. This suggests that the lake bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the lake bottom is covered by an easily disturbed thick organic layer of sediment. When the lake bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is

2009

released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

TRIBUTARY SAMPLING

➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year total phosphorus data for tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a detailed explanation of total phosphorus.

The phosphorus concentration in the **Campground Inlet** sample on the **June and August** sampling events was ***slightly elevated (33 and 36 ug/L)***, however, the turbidity levels were in a normal range for this site.

Record summer rainfall likely increased stormwater runoff and nutrient loading to the tributary. As impervious surface cover increases in the watershed, stormwater runoff volumes increase. This transports phosphorus-laden stormwater into tributaries and eventually the lake. Efforts should be made in the watershed to reduce impervious surfaces and limit phosphorus sources such as fertilizer use, septic influences, agricultural impacts, and sediment/erosion control.

➤ **pH**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation of pH.

The pH of the tributary stations ranged from **6.32 to 6.84 (> 6)** and is sufficient to support aquatic life.

The pH of the **Campground Inlet** appears to be slightly acidic. This can be caused by the presence of humic, tannic and fulvic acids. Humic, tannic and fulvic acids naturally occur as a result of decomposing organic matter such as leaves. These acids may also cause the water to be tea colored. In New Hampshire the presence of granite bedrock and acid deposition also naturally lowers the pH of freshwaters.

➤ **Conductivity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of conductivity.

The **Campground Inlet, Dump Branch and Outlet** have experienced decreasing conductivity levels since monitoring began. This is a good sign indicating the potential effectiveness of remediation activities on watershed pollution sources.

However, it is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the tributaries. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

Therefore, we recommend that the **tributaries** be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord is able to conduct chloride analyses, free of charge. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year turbidity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of turbidity.

Overall, **2009** tributary turbidity levels were **similar** to historical tributary turbidity levels.

➤ **Bacteria (*E. coli*)**

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation.

Two in-lake locations were sampled for *E.coli* on the **7/14/2009** and **8/6/2009** DES NLA/PLA sampling events. The results were all **20 counts** or less which is ***much less than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria, such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

The *E. coli* concentration was **very low** at the **Campground Inlet** on the **8/10/2009** sampling event. Specifically, the result was **20 counts or less**, which is ***much less than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for

recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

➤ Chlorides

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl⁻) is found naturally in some surface waters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The **epilimnion** was sampled for chloride during the **8/6/2009** sampling event. The result was **< 5 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot, particularly in the spring during snow-melt and during rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

Please note that chloride analyses can be run free of charge at the DES Limnology Center. Please contact the VLAP Coordinator if you are interested in chloride monitoring.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled-out an assessment audit sheet to document the volunteer monitors’ ability to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did a **very good** job when collecting samples this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures when collecting and submitting samples to the laboratory. However, the laboratory did identify a few aspects of sample collection that your group could improve upon, as follows:

- **Sample labeling:** Please label your samples with a waterproof pen preferably by using a black permanent before sampling. ***Please label sample bottles with the lake name, town name, station name, date, and time samples were collected.*** Check to make sure that the ink does not wash off the bottle when exposed to water. If your association has made its own sample bottle labels, please fold over one corner of each label before placing it on a sample bottle so that the label will not become permanently attached to the bottle. In addition, please make sure that the labels will stick to the bottles when they are wet.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-03-42.pdf.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-1.pdf>

Lake or Pond – What is the Difference? DES fact sheet WD-BB-49, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-49.pdf>

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-9.pdf.

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 1: Stormwater and Antidegradation, DES fact sheet WD-08-20A, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20a.pdf>

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 2: Post-Construction Best Management Practices Selection and Design, DES fact sheet WD-08-20B, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20b.pdf>

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 3: Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction, DES fact sheet WD-08-20C, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20c.pdf>

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-2.pdf>.

Road Salt and Water Quality, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-4.pdf.